



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/613,016	07/10/2000	Wei-Lien Hsu	5500-59700	9544
75	590 05/19/2003			
B Noel Kivlin Conley Rose & Tayon PC P O Box 398			EXAMINER	
			MAI, TAN V	
Austin, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	5
·			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Cy 613, 016 Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit 2724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address					
Period for Response					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> </ul>					
Status	- 4.5 / 1. 4.				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $10-17-00$ , $9-5-00$ , $6-4-01$					
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
Claim(s) 1-14					
☐ Claim(s)					
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on $9-5-cc$ is Capproved disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).</li> </ul>					
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 4					
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Other					
Office Action Summary					

Application/Control Number: 09/613,016

Art Unit: 2124

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because legal phraseology is used in this paragraph (i.e., "comprises"). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 4, line 87: "simultaneous-instruction" seems to be incorrect.

Appropriate correction is required.

3.. Claims 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 6, the term "two-dimensional discrete cosine" (line 4) should be --two-dimensional **inverse** discrete cosine--. The terms "(2D-DCT)" and "2D-DCT" should be --(2D-IDCT)-- and --2D-IDCT--, resp[ectively. Similarly noted claims 7-8, 12 and 13.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Eijndhoven et al.

Van Eijndhoven et al disclose, e.g., see Figs. 1 and 4a-b, the invention substantially as claimed, including: a plurality of functional units (12i), instruction issue unit (10) and register (14). Each functional unit has input registers and ALUs. It is noted that Van Eijndhoven et al do

Application/Control Number: 09/613,016 Page 3

Art Unit: 2124

NOT disclose specifically the claimed "multiple data blocks". However, such feature is implicitly disclosed from the Figures and the corresponding recitation because each input register received a plurality of data. Therefore, the input data of functional units should be in "block" fashion. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the claimed invention according to Van Eijndhoven et al's teachings, because the reference is a data processing device/method for performing 2-D IDCT having SIMD instructions as claimed.

- 5. Claims 6-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final (703) 746-7238

Official (703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240.

Art Unit: 2124

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TAN V. MAI PRIMARY EXAMINER